

## Binomial and negative binomial distribution

### Parametrisation

The Binomial distribution is

$$\text{Prob}(y) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}$$

for responses  $y = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where

$n$ : number of trials.

$p$ : probability of success in each trial.

The negative binomial distribution is

$$\text{Prob}(n) = \binom{n-1}{y-1} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}$$

for given  $y = 1, 2, \dots$  and response  $n - y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

### Link-function

The mean and variance of  $y$  are given in the binomial case as

$$\mu = np \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 = np(1-p)$$

and the probability  $p$  is linked to the linear predictor by

$$p(\eta) = \frac{\exp(\eta)}{1 + \exp(\eta)}$$

### Hyperparameters

None.

### Hyperparameter specification and default values

**doc** The Binomial likelihood

**hyper**

**survival** FALSE

**discrete** TRUE

**link** default logit loga cauchit probit cloglog loglog log sslogit logitoffset quantile pquantile robit sn

**pdf** binomial

### Specification

- family = **binomial**
- Required arguments:  $y$  and  $n$  (keyword **Ntrials**)
- Optional argument: **variant=0** for binomial (default), and **variant=1** for the negative binomial.

## Expert version

There is also an “expert” version where you are supposed to know what you are doing. Here, we allow  $y$  and  $n$  to be non-integers, however, the condition  $0 \leq y \leq n$  apply. The normalizing constant is computed as above using the integer part of  $y$  and  $n$ . This is similar to using `floor(y)` and `floor(n)` in R. The marginal likelihood estimate will in this case make less sense.

- `family = xbinomial`
- Required arguments:  $y$  and  $n$  (keyword `Ntrials`)

**doc** The Binomial likelihood (expert version)

**hyper**

**survival** FALSE

**discrete** TRUE

**link** default logit loga cauchit probit cloglog loglog log sslogit logitoffset quantile pquantile robit sn

**pdf** binomial

**status** experimental

## Example

In the following example we estimate the parameters in a simulated example with binomial responses.

```
## binomial
n=100
a = 1
b = 1
z = rnorm(n)
eta = a + b*z
formula <- y ~ 1 + z
prob = exp(eta)/(1 + exp(eta))

Ntrials = sample(1:10, size=n, replace=TRUE)
y = rbinom(n, size = Ntrials, prob = prob)
data = data.frame(y, z, Ntrials)
r = inla(formula, family = "binomial", data = data, Ntrials=Ntrials)
summary(r)

## negative binomial
y = sample(1:3, size=n, replace=TRUE)
Ntrials = y + rnbinom(n, size = y, prob = prob)
r = inla(formula,
          family = "binomial",
          control.family = list(variant = 1),
          Ntrials = Ntrials,
          data = data.frame(y, x, Ntrials))
summary(r)
```

## Notes

- If the response is a **factor** it must be converted to  $\{0,1\}$  before calling `inla()`, as this conversion is not done automatic (as for example in `glm()`).
- This version of the negative binomial mimics the binomial distribution, and the “data” kind of enter in the **Ntrials** argument (as  $y$  is pre-determined) which both can appear, and should appear, strange. There is also an alternative implementation, `family="nbinomial"`, which mimics the Poisson distribution.